

SALVATION, O.T., N.T.

PART II

ONE BOOK, DEALING WITH ONE UNCHANGING SALVATION

By Arlen L. Chirwood

“For I will pass through the land of Egypt this night, and will smite all the firstborn in the land of Egypt, both man and beast; and against all the gods of Egypt I will execute judgment: I am the Lord.

And the blood shall be for you a token upon the houses where ye are: and when I see the blood, I will pass over you, and the plague shall not be upon you to destroy you, when I smite the land of Egypt (Ex. 12:12, 13).

And all that dwell upon the earth shall worship him, whose names are not written in the book of life of the Lamb slain from the foundation of the world” (Rev. 13:8).

Christ, in complete conformity to the type in Genesis chapter three (*ref.* Part I of this pamphlet series), found His bride in a fallen state. And He Who knew no sin became sin (II Cor. 5:21). And this was with a view to *redemption*, in order that both Christ and His bride might one day partake of the tree of life together and realize the purpose for man’s existence (*Christ partaking of the tree as a complete being, which will necessitate His bride — bone of His bones, and flesh of His flesh (cf. Gen. 2:23; Eph. 5:30) — being present with Him.*

Then a clear inference to death and shed blood are introduced later in the chapter through God clothing Adam and Eve with animal skins (they had lost the covering of Glory at the time of the fall).

(Note again that man at this point is *NOT* placed completely back into the position which he had occupied before the fall [a restoration of the covering of Glory, which, in subsequent Scripture, is *seen restored ONLY on the seventh day*].

There was a restoration of the Glory, after a fashion, during Moses’ day at Mt. Sinai when the Glory filled the tabernacle [Ex. 40:1ff]. And this may be what is being referenced, or at least is in view, in Rom. 5:14—“Nevertheless death reigned from Adam to Moses...” — but man being brought completely back into the position from which he fell will require a restoration of the Glory after the same fashion seen prior to Adam’s fall. Such a restoration will require man to, once again, be enswathed in Glory.)

Then in chapter four, more information is added. Man, seen acting in the previous chapter, would be the one to die and shed his blood (Cain slaying Abel, Israel slaying Christ). And putting all of this together from these four chapters, the complete salvation picture is seen, *a picture which NEVER changes.*

Importance of Correct Foundations

Eternal salvation is a Divine work, performed by a Man (Who has to be God), with death and shed blood involved. *ALL fallen man can do is simply receive that which has been done on his behalf. NOTHING more can enter into the matter.*

And note again that once man has passed from death unto life, God’s dealings with man then move to that which lies out ahead. God *NEVER again* deals with man relative to the salvation which he presently possesses.

In that respect, note the absurdity of saying that a saved man can lose his salvation. *HOW* could he lose something *which he had NOTHING to do with obtaining*, particularly since God is *NO longer* dealing with him relative to the matter?

Now, a lot of time has been spent on this overall salvation issue from the first four chapters of Genesis for the simple reason that these foundational truths pertaining to salvation are needed to understand the subject at hand — salvation as

seen later in Scripture, particularly as it is seen in the camp of Israel on both sides of Calvary.

(Note the importance of beginning where God began and studying Scripture after the manner in which God structured His Word.

Understand how the subject is set forth in its foundational respect at the beginning of Scripture, and you will know how to handle the same subject matter when it appears later in Scripture, though perhaps dealt with from different perspectives at times.)

Events in Genesis chapter twenty-two or chapter thirty-seven further illustrate and provide additional information for that seen in the opening four chapters of Genesis, but let’s move on to Exodus chapter twelve.

In this chapter we have that previously illustrated from several types in Genesis brought together in the institution of the Passover. A lamb from the flock was to die in a vicarious manner in the stead of the firstborn in the family. And a lamb dying in this manner, with the blood caught and properly applied — in line with the way that the sacrifices or other types were seen back in Genesis — pointed to the Paschal Lamb dying at Calvary, shedding His blood.

Now, the question: Did God recognize death and shed blood, in relation to man’s eternal salvation, in all these sacrifices throughout man’s 4,000-year history preceding the events of Calvary? Certainly He did! After all, He is the One having instituted them, with man only carrying out that which God had previously instituted.

All of these sacrifices were inseparably associated with the One actually slain before man even fell, or before one sacrifice was ever even offered. Christ was “slain from the foundation of the world” (Rev. 13:8), which takes matters back to the beginning of the restoration of the ruined earth, preceding man’s creation, in Gen. 1:2b. In reality, all of the O.T. sacrifices foreshadowed an event which God looked upon as having already occurred (Eccl. 3:14, 15; Isa. 48:3ff).

At the time Christ was here on earth, the Jewish people were still sacrificing the Passover lamb. In this respect, with death and shed blood involved, the Jewish people at Christ's first coming *could ONLY* have been just as saved as the Israelites during Moses' day or any others who had availed themselves of God's provision during succeeding years or during the preceding 2,500 years of human history.

Or, we can move matters to anytime during the 2,000 years this side of Calvary and say that saved individuals throughout this time were no more or no less saved than individuals who were saved throughout the 4,000-year history of the human race preceding Calvary. It matters not where you view salvation by grace — during Adam's day immediately following the fall, or today 6,000 years later — it's the same, by death and shed blood.

These Jews in view at Christ's first coming would have been individuals who were having a part in the sacrifice of the paschal lambs year after year (*which could ONLY have been the nation at large, else Christ could NOT have come to this nation and dealt with them relative to spiritual values, offering to the Jewish nation the kingdom of the heavens*).

Salvation on Both Sides of Calvary

Now let's look at both sides of Calvary and the re-offer of the kingdom of the heavens to Israel after Christ's ascension. Again, bear in mind that which is stated in Rev. 13:8.

(But, note something about events in John chapter eight first. In this chapter, Christ, during His earthly ministry, referred to Jews who had believed in Him [v. 31] as being "of your father the devil" [v. 44].

Don't try to read *saved-unsaved issues* into this chapter. Christ was dealing with saved Jews being brought forth from below rather than from above, doing the work of Satan rather than the work of God.

The issue in this chapter, as the central issue in the book as a whole, is *NOT eternal salvation*. Rather,

the issue has to do with the message being proclaimed to Israel at that time, which pertained *to the kingdom*.)

Question: Jews rejecting Christ, responsible for the events of Calvary, were they saved or unsaved?

Then another question: If saved — which they, of course, were — did that status change once the Paschal Lamb had died, with God then no longer recognizing animal sacrifices as before, nullifying their salvation?

How could a status in their salvation possibly change? God had previously established and recognized animal sacrifices in this respect; and, according to the original type in the opening two chapters of Genesis, or any other type, once a man had passed from death unto life, God *NEVER* dealt with the person on that basis again. All of God's dealings with the person, beyond availing himself of the blood sacrifice, were now focused on that which lay ahead, *NEVER* on that lying behind.

Had not the status of these Jews remained the same (*i.e.*, just as saved following Calvary as they had been before Calvary), there could have been no re-offer of the kingdom to Israel, as seen throughout the Book of Acts. And had God continued to recognize animal sacrifices beyond Calvary, the re-offer of the kingdom could conceivably have continued indefinitely (as long as Israel remained in a position to and continued to sacrifice the paschal lambs year after year).

BUT, following Calvary, God recognized *ONLY* the sacrifice of the Paschal Lamb, *NOT* sacrifices of paschal lambs as before. And, on the basis of Rev. 13:8, one might say that God, in reality, had recognized *ONLY* the sacrifice of the Paschal Lamb since before man fell, though seen and foreshadowed in all the other paschal lambs slain up to Calvary.

Thus, the re-offer of the kingdom could remain open as long as a saved generation of Jews remained on the scene (*NOT Christians, BUT saved Jews* [individuals still rejecting Christ; thus, they *could NOT* have been *new creations* "in Christ"]).

BUT, once this generation had passed off the scene, there could be NO continued re-offer of the kingdom.

The preceding is why the re-offer ceased after some thirty years (from 33 AD to about 62 AD). The saved generation of Jews was rapidly passing off the scene, leaving unsaved Jews to replace them. *And that having spiritual values could NOT have been offered to individuals separated from spiritual values.*

And, aside from the preceding, a new entity had been brought into existence to be the recipient of that which Israel had rejected.

(By way of summation, note the Jews saved on the day of Pentecost, or Paul saved en route to Damascus. Neither account should be thought of with respect to eternal verities. Along with Jews reached throughout the Book of Acts, both should be thought of in the sense of the conversion of individuals already saved, *i.e.*, saving the saved [like past and present aspects of salvation, with a view to the future, seen in the lives of Christians today].

The preceding is evident from that stated in Acts 2:38 on the day of Pentecost. And it would be somewhat absurd to think of Paul, a zealous Pharisee, not having previously availed himself of God's sacrificial provision — *i.e.*, not having previously observed the Passover.

Also, on another note, unsaved Jews today [and it has been this way for over 1,900 years] are looked upon *EXACTLY* as unsaved Gentiles relative to eternal salvation. *BOTH* have to be saved *EXACTLY the SAME way* — through faith in the Paschal Lamb who died — *NOT* through faith in some unknown coming messiah, etc. The matter is *EXACTLY* as stated in Acts 4:12:

"Neither is there salvation in any other: for there is none other name under heaven given among men, whereby we must be saved."

In this respect, there *are ONLY* two types of people in the world today — *Saved* [Christians] and *Unsaved* [Jews and Gentiles].)

The Lamp Broadcast, Inc.
225 S. Cottonwood Ranch Road
Cottonwood, AZ 86326
www.lampbroadcast.org